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The effect or partial dissipation on the vibrations of a mechanical system near the equilibrium position, which is stable for certain potential forces, is investigated with the aid of a theorem of E.A.Barbashin and Krasovskii[1].

Results obtained in [2] are extended and made more precise.
The damping coefficients and frequencies of a system with small and large dissipation are calculated approximately.

1. We consider a linear mechanical system near the position of stable equilibrium at an isolated minimum of the potential energy and acted upon by dissipative forces with the dissipation function

$$
F=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{i} \cdot x_{j}^{*}
$$

Here $\alpha_{1 j}$ are constants, $F$ is a negative-definite form of rank $k$, which is in general less than $n$, the number of degrees of freedom, 1.e. the dissipation is not total.

Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be the normal coordinates. The equations of motion have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}{ }^{*}+\lambda_{i}{ }^{2} x_{i}+\left(\alpha_{i_{1}} x_{1}{ }^{*}+\ldots+\alpha_{i n} x_{n}^{*}\right)=0 \quad(i=1, \ldots, n) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that none of the numbers $\lambda_{2}{ }^{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}{ }^{2}$ is equal to zero, that there is a group of equal numbers $\lambda_{1}{ }^{2}=\ldots=\lambda_{r}{ }^{2}$, and that none of the remaining numbers equals $\lambda_{1}{ }^{2}$. We note that the variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ may undergo any orthogonal transformation, and this transformation will affect only the dissipation coefficients.

Let

$$
F_{r}=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i j=1}^{r} \alpha_{i j} x_{i}^{*} x_{j}
$$

be that part of the dissipation function which depends only on $x_{1}{ }^{\bullet}, \ldots, x_{r}{ }^{*}$. Reducing it to a canonical form by an orthogonal transformation and retaining the old notation for the new variables and coefficients $\alpha_{i j}^{\prime}$, we obtain

$$
F=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m \leqslant r} \alpha_{i i} x_{i}^{\cdot 2}+2 \sum_{i>r, j \leqslant r} \alpha_{i j} x_{i}^{\prime} x_{j}^{\cdot}+\sum_{i j=r+1}^{n} \alpha_{i j} x_{i}^{*} x_{j}^{\cdot}\right)
$$

Theorem 1.1. In order that after addition of the dissipative forces the isolated equilibrium position shall become asymptotically stable with respect to the normal coordinate $x_{k}$, belonging to the set $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$, ..., $x_{r}$ of normal coordinates corresponding to the frequency $\lambda_{1}$, it is necessary and sufficient that

$$
F_{r}=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m \leqslant r} \alpha_{i i} x_{i}^{\cdot 2}
$$

the part of the dissipation function depending only on the velocities $x_{1}^{*}, \ldots, x^{*}$, vanish only for $x^{*}=0$. In the opposite case, the coordinate $x_{k}$ remains unaffected by dissipation and will keep vibrating harmonically with frequency $\lambda_{1}$.

In order that the equilibrium state shall be asymptotically stable with respect to all coordinates, it is necessary and sufficient that all functions $F_{r}$ be sign-definite with respect to all variables. For frequencies $\lambda_{1}$ which have the multiplicity of one, this requirement is equivalent to the condition $\alpha_{11} \neq 0$.

Proof. Necessity. Let any coefficient in the canonical form $F_{r}$ be equal to zero. Without loss of generality we will assume that it is $a_{11}$.

If $\alpha_{11}=0$, then the variable $x_{1}$ does not appear at all in the dissipation function. Actually, we will assume that there appear in $F$ terms of the form $2 \alpha_{s 1} x_{1} x^{\prime}$, where $x^{*}$. is any of the velocities ( $8 \neq 1$ ); then, setting all velocitles except $x^{*}$, and $x^{*}$. equal to zero, we obtain

$$
-2 F=2 \alpha_{s 1} x_{1} x_{s}{ }^{\circ}+\alpha_{s s} x_{s}{ }^{2}
$$

It is clear that if $\alpha_{11} \neq 0$, then $F$ may have any sign, which contradicts the assumption that $F$ is negative•definite.

Thus, all $a_{11}$ are zero and $x^{*}$ will not appear at all in the dissipation function. This means that dissipative terms do not enter into the first equation, and the coordinate $x_{1}$ will be unaffected by dissipation. Thus, necessity is proved.

Sufficiency From the theorem of Barabashin and Krasovskil [1], applied to Equation

$$
\frac{d}{d t}(T-U)=2 F
$$

(where $T$ is the kinetic energy and $U$ the force function), we conclude that any perturbed motion will as $t \vec{\infty}$ asymptotically approach either some point on the trajectory of Equations (1.1) which lie entirely within the region $F=0$, or the origin $\left(x_{1}=x_{1}=0\right)$. on this trajectory all partial derivatives $\partial F / \partial x_{1}$ - and all derivatives with respect to time of these linear forms will of necessity vanish, by virtue of the equations of motion.

Let $x_{1}$ correspond to the root $\lambda_{1}$ and let

$$
-\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{1}^{*}}=\alpha_{11} x_{1}^{*}+u_{21^{*}}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)+\ldots+u_{n^{{ }^{*}}}\left(\lambda_{n}\right)
$$

where $u_{21^{*}}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)$ is a linear form in the velocities $x_{j}$ corresponding to the root $\lambda_{2}$, and so forth. If the system (1.1) admits of solutions, anlong which $\vec{F}=0$, then all of these solutions must necessarily lie in the region

$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}^{*}}=0, \quad \frac{d}{d t^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}^{*}}\right)=0
$$

where these latter derivatives must be calculated taking into account Equations (1.1), in which we set $d F / d x_{9}^{*}=0$. Calculating the second derivative of $d F / d x_{1}{ }^{\circ}$ using Equation (1.1), we obtain

$$
-\frac{d}{d t^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{1}^{*}}\right)=w_{1}=\alpha_{11} \lambda_{1}^{2} x_{1}^{*}+\lambda_{2}^{2} u_{2}^{*}+\ldots+\lambda_{n}^{2} u_{n}^{*}=0
$$

Subtracting from the last line $\lambda_{2}{ }^{2} d F / d x_{1}{ }^{\circ}$, we obtain

$$
w_{2}=\alpha_{11}\left(\lambda_{1}^{2}-\lambda_{2}^{2}\right) x_{1}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{3}^{2}-\lambda_{2}^{2}\right) u_{3}^{*}+\ldots+\left(\lambda_{n}^{2}-\lambda_{2}^{2}\right) u_{n}^{*}=0
$$

As a result, we find that the form $w_{2}$, not containing $u_{2}{ }^{\text {a }}$ and containing $x_{1}{ }^{*}$, essentially $\left(\alpha_{11}\left(\lambda_{1}{ }^{2}-\lambda_{2}{ }^{2}\right) \neq 0\right)$ must vanish.

Differentiating this form twice, by virtue of Equations (1.I), we have

$$
w_{3}=\alpha_{11} \lambda_{1}^{2}\left(\lambda_{1}^{2}-\lambda_{2}^{2}\right) x_{1}^{*}+\lambda_{3}^{2}\left(\lambda_{3}^{2}-\lambda_{2}^{2}\right) u_{3}^{*}+\ldots+\lambda_{n}^{2}\left(\lambda_{n}^{2}-\lambda_{2}^{2}\right) u_{n}^{*}=0
$$

Subtracting $\lambda_{3}{ }^{2} w_{2}$ from it, we obtain

$$
w_{4}=\alpha_{11}\left(\lambda_{1}^{2}-\lambda_{3}^{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}^{2}-\lambda_{2}^{2}\right) x_{1}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{4}^{2}-\lambda_{3}^{2}\right) u_{4}^{*}+\ldots=0
$$

Extending this process, we come to the conclusion that $x_{1}{ }^{*}=0$, which means that $x_{1}=0$, and so forth. Thus sufficiency is proved.

Note. If there are zeros among the numbers $\lambda_{1}{ }^{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{2}$ and the equilibrium position is not an isolated one, then reasoning in the same manner, we come to the conclusion that all normal coordinates and velocities corresponding to a nonzero frequency will either vanish with time or oscillate.

For the group of variables corresponding to $\lambda_{1} * 0$, we obtain

$$
\alpha_{11} x_{1}^{*}=\ldots=\alpha_{m m} x_{m}=0, \quad x_{1}=c_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}=c_{m}
$$

If $m=r$, then the motion will asymptotically approach the equilibrium position $x_{1}=c_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}=c_{m}$; if $m<r$, then $x_{m+1}=v_{0, m+1} t+c_{m+1}$, etc.

It is not difficult to note that if the necessary and sufficient conditions for asymptotic stability of a linear system are fulfilled and none of the frequencies are zero, then asymptotic stability is preserved when any higher-order terms are added. The property mentioned in the note may be violated in a nonlinear system.
2. We assume that the dissipation function contains a small multiplier $s$ and has the form $F=s F_{1}$, while the dissipative forces become $s d F_{1} / d x_{i}{ }^{*}$, where $d F_{1} / d x_{i}$. are comparable in magnitude to the potential forces. Now let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{*}$ be the variables corresponding to the frequency $\lambda_{1}$. We will seek a root of the characteristic equation of the form

$$
\mu_{1}=\lambda_{1} i+a_{1} s+b_{1} s^{2} \quad(i=\sqrt{-1})
$$

Substituting it into the characteristic equation of the system (1.1) and introducing the Kronecker symbol $\delta_{11}$, we obtain

$$
\left\|\delta_{i j}\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda_{i}^{2}\right)+\mu s \alpha_{i j}\right\|=\triangle=0
$$

whence we obtain, accurate to order $a^{a r}$
where

$$
\Lambda_{j j}=\left(2 a_{1}+\alpha_{j j}\right) \lambda_{1} i s+k_{1} s^{2} \quad(j=1, \ldots, r) ; \quad\left(k_{1}=a_{1}^{2}-a_{1} \alpha_{11}+2 b_{1} \lambda_{1} i\right)
$$

Removing the multiplier $\lambda_{1}$ to from the first $r$ rows, we obtain

$$
\Delta=\left(\lambda_{1} i s\right)^{r}\left(2 a_{1}+\alpha_{11}\right) \ldots\left(2 a_{1}+\alpha_{r r}\right)\left(\lambda_{r+1}^{s_{1}}-\lambda_{1}^{2}\right) \ldots\left(\lambda_{n}^{2}-\lambda_{1}^{2}\right)+0\left(s^{r}\right)=0
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}^{1}=1 / 2 \alpha_{11}, \ldots, a_{2}^{r}=1 / 2 a_{r r} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If none of the quantities $\alpha_{11}, \ldots, \alpha_{r r}$ are equal, then in a similar manner the following equation for determining $k_{2}$ is easily obtained:

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
-\frac{k_{1}}{\lambda_{1}^{2}} & \alpha_{1, r+1} & 0 \ldots & \alpha_{1 n} \\
\alpha_{1, r+1} & \lambda_{r+1}^{2}-\lambda_{1}^{2} & 0 \ldots & 0 \\
\cdots \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \ldots
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

Hence we obtain

$$
\frac{k_{1}}{\lambda_{1}^{2}}=-\frac{\alpha_{1, r+1}^{2}}{\lambda_{r+1}^{2}-\lambda_{1}^{2}}-\ldots-\frac{\alpha_{1 n^{2}}^{2}}{\lambda_{n}^{2}-\lambda_{1}^{2}}
$$

But on the other hand

$$
k_{1}=a_{1}^{2}-a_{1} \alpha_{11}+2 b_{1} \lambda_{1} i=-1 / 4 \alpha_{11}^{2}+2 b_{1} \lambda_{1} i
$$

$\begin{aligned} & \text { Solving for } b_{1} \text {, we have } \\ & \text { and similarly } \\ & b_{1}(1,2)\end{aligned}= \pm \frac{i}{2 \lambda_{1}}\left(\frac{\alpha_{11}^{2}}{4}-\lambda_{n}{ }^{2} \sum_{j=r+1}^{n} \frac{\alpha_{1 j}^{2}}{\lambda_{j}^{2}-\lambda_{1}{ }^{2}}\right)$

$$
b_{2}^{(1,2)}= \pm \frac{i}{2 \lambda_{1}}\left(\frac{\alpha_{22}^{2}}{4}-\lambda_{1}{ }^{2} \sum_{j=r+1}^{n} \frac{\alpha_{2 j}^{2}}{\lambda_{j}^{2}-\lambda_{1}^{2}}\right) \quad \text { etc. }
$$

As a result, we come to the conclusion that if none of the coefficients of the canonical quadratic form $F_{r}$ corresponding to the variables $x_{1}{ }^{\circ}, \ldots$ $\ldots, x_{r}^{*}$ and frequency $\lambda_{1}$ are equal, then the characteristic exponent takes the form

$$
\mu_{j}=-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{j j} s \pm i\left[\lambda_{1}+\frac{s^{2}}{2 \lambda_{1}}\left(\frac{\alpha_{j j}{ }^{2}}{4}-\sum_{i=r+1}^{n} \frac{\alpha_{j i}^{2}}{\lambda_{i}^{2}-\lambda_{1}{ }^{2}}\right)\right]
$$

accurate to terms of order $\boldsymbol{s}^{3}$.
3. We shall now consider the effect of large dissipation with a dissipation function $F$ of the form

$$
F=\frac{1}{s} F_{1}=-\frac{1}{2 s} \sum_{i j=1}^{n} \alpha_{i j} x_{i}^{*} x_{j}=-\frac{1}{2 s} \sum_{i j=1}^{k<n} \beta_{i j} z_{i}^{*} z_{j}^{*}
$$

Here $s$ is a small multiplier, $\kappa<n$ is the rank of the form $F$, which is negative definite with respect to $z_{1}{ }^{\circ}, \ldots, z_{\mathbf{k}}{ }^{\circ}$, the linear forms of the original velocities. We shall also assume that $F$ makes the initial equilibrium position asymptotically stable. Let $z_{i}^{*}, \ldots, z_{x}^{\circ}$ be expressed in terms of the canonical momenta of the system by

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{i}^{*}=\theta_{i_{1}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_{1}^{*}}+\ldots+\theta_{i n} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_{n}{ }^{\bullet}} \quad(i=1, \ldots, k) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the change of variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{j}=\theta_{1 j} y_{2}+\ldots+\theta_{k j} y_{k}+\ldots+\theta_{n j} y_{n} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta_{i 1}, \ldots, \theta_{i n}(i \leqslant k)$ are taken from Formula (3.1), while the remaining $\theta_{19}$ are arbitrary and are related only by the condition that the transformation (3.2) be nonsingular. In the new variables we have the equality

$$
\frac{\partial T}{\partial y_{i}^{*}}=\theta_{i 1} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_{1}^{*}}+\ldots+\theta_{i n} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_{n}^{*}}=z_{i}^{*} \quad(i=1, \ldots, k)
$$

Replacing $y_{1}{ }^{*}, \ldots, y_{k}^{*}$ by $z_{1}{ }^{*}, \ldots, z_{k}^{*}$ according to Routh's theorem [3], we find that the kinetic energy takes the form

$$
T=T_{1}\left(z_{1}{ }^{*}, \ldots, z_{k}^{*}\right)+T_{2}\left(y_{k+1}, \ldots, y_{n}^{*}\right)
$$

in the variables $z_{1}{ }^{\circ} \ldots, z_{k}^{*}, \nu_{k+1}, \ldots, \nu_{n}^{*}$ and does not contain products $\nu_{1}{ }^{*} z^{\circ}$. Both quadratic forms $T_{1}$ and $T_{a}$ will be positive definite functions of the variables appearing in them. Therefore the forms $T_{1}$ and $F$ may, by a simultaneous transformation, be reduced to a sum of squares

$$
2 T_{1}=z_{1}{ }^{* 2}+\ldots+z_{k}^{* 2}, \quad-2 s F=a_{11} z_{1}^{* 2}+\ldots+a_{k k^{2} z^{2}}
$$

Let the force function $U$ take the form

$$
U=U_{1}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right)+U_{2}\left(z_{j}, y_{i}\right)+U_{3}\left(y_{k+1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right)
$$

in the variables $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}, y_{k+1}, \ldots, y_{n}$, where $U_{1}$ depends only on the variables $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{x} ; U_{2}$ contains only the products $z_{j} y_{1}$, and $U_{3}$ only the variables $y_{k+1}, \ldots, y_{r}$. The term $U_{3}$ is a negative definite quadratic form of its variables, which may be simultaneously reduced with $T_{a}$ by an orthogonal transformation to a sum of squares

$$
2 T_{2}=y_{k+1}^{\bullet 2}+\ldots+y_{n}^{\bullet 2}, 2 U_{3}=-v_{k+1}^{2} y_{k+1}^{2}-\ldots-v_{n}^{2} y_{n}^{2}
$$

Retaining the old notation for the new variables, we remember, however, that all the derivations which have been carried out refer to the coefficients of the system of equations in which the kinetic energy $T$, the potential function $U$ and the dissipation function $F$ have, with the help of a linear substitution, been written in the special form

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 T=z_{1}^{\cdot 2}+\ldots+z_{k}^{\cdot 2}+y_{k+1}^{\cdot 2}+\ldots+y_{n}^{{ }^{2}} \\
& 2 U=-\sum_{i j=1}^{k} c_{i j} z_{i} z_{j}-2 \sum_{i>k, j \leqslant k} c_{i j} z_{j} y_{i}-v_{k+1}^{2} y_{k+1}^{2}-\ldots-v_{n}{ }^{2} y_{n}{ }^{2}  \tag{3.3}\\
& -2 s F=a_{11 z_{1}{ }^{2}}+\ldots+a_{k k^{2}} z^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Before proceeding with the investigation, we will prove an auxiliary lemma.
Lemma 3.1. If the introduction of dissipation makes the equilibrium position asymptotically stable, then none of the coefficients $v_{k+1}{ }^{2}, \ldots, v_{n}{ }^{2}$ may be equal.

Proof. Setting $z_{1}=\ldots=z_{k}=0$ in Equations. (3.3), we obtain

$$
2 T^{\circ}=y_{k+1}^{\bullet 2}+\ldots+y_{n}^{\bullet}, \quad 2 U^{\circ}=-v_{k+1}^{2} y_{k+1}^{2}-\ldots-v_{n}^{2} y_{n}^{2}
$$

Hence it is clear $\nu_{k+1} \ldots \ldots, \nu_{n}$ are the principal frequencies of the system which is obtained from the original system after introduction of the additional constraints $z_{1}=\ldots=z_{k}=0$.

Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\mathrm{n}}$ be the normal coordinates of the original system, and $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}$ be expressed in terms of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ by

$$
z_{i}=\gamma_{i 1} x_{1}+\ldots+\gamma_{i n} x_{n}
$$

Differentiating this equation once with respect to time, we obtain

$$
z_{i}^{*}=\Upsilon_{i 1} x_{1}^{*}+\ldots+\Upsilon_{i n} x_{n}^{*} \quad(i=1, \ldots, k)
$$

The dissipation function vanishes for $z_{1}{ }^{\circ}=\ldots=z_{k}^{*}=0$, consequently this condition is equivalent to the system

$$
\begin{gather*}
-2 s \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{1}^{*}}=\alpha_{11} x_{1}^{*}+u_{21}^{\bullet}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)+\ldots+u_{n 1}\left(\lambda_{n}\right)=0  \tag{3.4}\\
-2 s \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{n}^{*}}=u_{1 n}^{*}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)+\ldots+u_{n n}\left(\lambda_{n}\right)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

The forms $u_{1 j}$ have already been encountered in Section 1 . That part of the first row which depends on the velocities corresponding to the frequecy $\lambda_{2}$ is denoted by $u_{2 i}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)$, while $u_{3 i}{ }^{\circ}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)$ denotes the part depending on the root $\lambda_{3}$, and so forth.

Since $z_{1}{ }^{*}=\ldots=z_{k}^{*}=0$ is equivalent to the system (3.4), then $z_{1}=\ldots$ $\ldots=z_{x}=0$ wili be equivalent to the system

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\alpha_{11} x_{1}+u_{21}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)+\ldots+u_{n 1}\left(\lambda_{n}\right)=0 \\
\cdot \cdots \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot u_{n n}\left(\lambda_{n}\right)=0
\end{array}
$$

which is obtained from (3.4) by replacing the velocities $x_{1}{ }^{*}, \ldots, x_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{*}$ by the coordinates $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. Imposing the constraint

$$
v_{1}=\alpha_{11} x_{1}+u_{21}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)+\ldots+u_{n^{1}}\left(\lambda_{w}\right)=0
$$

on the mechanical system, we find, by virtue of a well-known theorem [4], that after the constraint $v_{1}=0$ has been applied, the frequencies of the constrained system will either partition the frequencies of the original system, or, in the case of a multiple frequency, part of them will be conserved. Thus, if the sum of coefficients of the form $u_{a}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)$, etc. differs from zero, then the multiplicity of the root will be decreased at least by 1 . Because of this property, it is clear that if the frequency $\lambda_{k}$ is preserved

In the new system, then its multiplicity will either be decreased or remain unchanged, and the cases where the multiplicity of even one of the preserved frequencies is increased, or where two or several of the newly appearing frequencies coincide, are impossible.

Since the conditions of Lemma assume asymptotic stability, then from the theorem of Section 1 , it necessarily follows that $\alpha_{12}>0$, since $-28 F_{m}=$ $=\alpha_{11} x_{1}{ }^{2}+\ldots+\alpha_{r r} x_{r}{ }^{2}$ is positive definite. Consequentiy, afer applying the constraint $v_{1}=0$, the multiplicity of the frequency $\lambda_{1}$ is lowered not less than 1 . Since $v_{1}=0$ does not affect the coordinates $x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}$, then it is clear that the system will have a frequency $\lambda_{1}$ of multipilcity $r-1$, hence the lowering of the multiplicity of the root $\lambda_{1}$ is exactiy equal to 1 . Imposing the constraint $v_{2}=0$, and noting that the equation $v_{z}=0$ does not contain $x_{1}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{2}$, but only $x_{2}$ with the coefficient $a_{22}>0$, we again obtain a reduction of the multiplicity by 1 , and so forth.

In the end, the system loses the root $\lambda_{2}$. In exactly the same way we prove that it necessarily loses all roots (in the sense that all the frequencies will be new ones), and we conclude that in a system with the additional constraints $z_{1}=\ldots=z_{k}=0$ there are no multiple principal frequancies, and consequentily $v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ are all different.

We now write the equations of motion

$$
\begin{gathered}
z_{i} \ddot{+}+s^{-1} a_{i i} z_{i}+c_{i 1} z_{1}+\ldots+c_{i k} z_{k}+c_{i k+1} y_{k+1}+\ldots+c_{i n} y_{n}=0 \quad(i=1, ., k) \\
y_{j} \ddot{ }+v_{j}^{2} y_{j}+c_{j 1} z_{1}+\ldots+c_{j k} z_{k}=0 \quad(j=k+1, \ldots, n)
\end{gathered}
$$

The characteristic equation has the form

Seeking roots of this equation of the form

$$
\mu_{n}= \pm v_{n} i+a_{1 n} s+a_{2 n} s^{2}+O\left(s^{2}\right)
$$

we obtain the equation for determining $a_{1 n}$

In the columns numbered from $k+1$ to $h-1$, inclusively, there is only a single nonzero element $\nu_{k+1}^{2}-v_{n}^{3}$, etc., hence these columns may be deleted, together with the corresponding rows. We now multiply the last row by $1 / v_{\mathrm{n}} t$ and then subtract the first column, multiplied by $c_{1_{n}} 7 v_{n} a_{11} i$, from the last, we multiply the second column by $c_{2 h} / v_{n} a_{11} i$ and subtract from the last, and so forth. As a result we cbtain
and similarly

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{1 n}=-\frac{1}{2 v_{n}^{2}}\left(\frac{c_{1 n}^{2}}{a_{11}}+\frac{c_{2 n}^{2}}{a_{22}}+\ldots+\frac{c_{k n}^{2}}{a_{k k}}\right) \\
a_{1 j}=-\frac{1}{2 v_{j}^{2}}\left(\frac{c_{i j} j^{2}}{a_{11}}+\ldots+\frac{c_{k j}^{2}}{a_{k k}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

The calculation of $a_{a f}$ (the coefficient of $s^{2}$ in the expansion of the pair of roots numbered $k+1, \ldots, n$ ) shows that all of them will be purely imaginary. Their formulas are, however, rather cumbersome and will not be
cited. The remaining pairs of roots of the characteristic equation will be sought in the form

$$
\mu_{j}=b_{1 j} / s+b_{2 j} s+O\left(s^{2}\right)
$$

Substituting $\mu$, into the equation, we easily obtain

$$
b_{1 j}^{1}=-a_{j j}, \quad b_{1 j}^{2}=0
$$

To find $b_{2 j}$ we revert to the original variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and reduce the force and dissipation functions

$$
2 U=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} x_{i}{ }^{2}, \quad-2 F s=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i i} x_{i}^{*} x_{j}^{*}
$$

by a simultaneous orthogonal transformation to the form

$$
2 U=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}{ }^{\prime} x_{i}{ }^{\prime 2}, \quad-2 F s=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i i}{ }^{\prime} x_{i}{ }^{\prime \prime 2}
$$

Let the kinetic energy $T$ take the form

$$
2 T=\sum A_{i j} x_{i}{ }^{\prime \prime} x_{j}^{\prime \prime}
$$

in these variables.
After these transformations the characteristic equation of the system is written in the form

$$
\left\|\mu^{2} A_{i j}+\delta_{i j} \lambda_{i}{ }^{\prime 2}+\delta_{i j} \mu / s\right\|=0
$$

Here $\delta_{1 \rho}$ is the usual Kronecker symbol, and $\delta_{1 j}^{\prime}=\delta_{1 j}$ if $i, j \leqslant k$ and equals zero for either $t$ or $j$ greater than $k$. Thus we obtain

$$
b_{j}^{2}=-\lambda_{j}^{\prime 2}
$$

As a result, it is clear that for large dissipative forces there will always exist in the system solutions with small damping, and if the dissipation is partial with rank $k<h$, but it gives the system asymptotic stability, then there exist $2(n-k)$ oscillatory solutions with exponents

$$
\mu_{i}= \pm v_{j} i-\frac{s}{2 v_{j}^{2}}\left(\frac{c_{1 j}^{2}}{a_{11}}+\ldots+\frac{c_{k j}{ }^{2}}{a_{k k}}\right)+O(s) \quad(j=k+1, \ldots, n)
$$

and $2 k$ with exponents

$$
\mu_{j 1} \approx-a_{j j} / s, \quad \mu_{j_{2}}=-\lambda_{j}^{\prime 2} s+O(s)
$$

The first group of these exponents corresponds to strong damping.
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